

Final Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement/
Environmental Impact Report

**SAN FRANCISCO ESTUARY INVASIVE SPARTINA PROJECT:
SPARTINA CONTROL PROGRAM**

VOLUME 1:
Final Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement/
Environmental Impact Report

September 2003

State Clearinghouse #2001042058

California State Coastal Conservancy

1330 Broadway, 11th Floor
Oakland, CA 94612
Phone: (510) 286-1015
Fax: (510) 286-0470

and the

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service

Sacramento Fish and Wildlife Office
2800 Cottage Way, W-2605
Sacramento, CA 95825
Phone: (916) 414-6600

TABLE OF CONTENTS

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	S-1
1.0 INTRODUCTION.....	1-1
1.1 The <i>Spartina</i> Control Program	1-1
1.2 Purpose and Need	1-5
1.3 Purpose and Use of This EIS/R	1-28
1.4 Document Organization.....	1-31
2.0 PROGRAM ALTERNATIVES.....	2-1
2.1 Development of Alternatives for Evaluation.....	2-1
2.2 Description of Alternatives.....	2-2
2.3 Alternatives and Treatment Methods Considered and Eliminated from Further Evaluation	2-24
3.0 ENVIRONMENTAL SETTING, IMPACTS, AND MITIGATION MEASURES	3-0.1
3.1 Geomorphology and Hydrology.....	3.1-1
3.2 Water Quality	3.2-1
3.3 Biological Resources	3.3-1
3.4 Air Quality	3.4-1
3.5 Noise.....	3.5-1
3.6 Human Health and Safety.....	3.6-1
3.7 Visual Resources	3.7-1
3.8 Land Use.....	3.8-1
3.9 Cultural Resources.....	3.9-1
3.10 Socioeconomics	3.10-1
3.11 Environmental Justice	3.11-1
3.12 Cumulative Impacts.....	3.12-1
4.0 EVALUATION OF PROJECT ALTERNATIVES.....	4-1
4.1 Comparison of Alternatives.....	4-1
4.2 NEPA Environmentally Preferred Alternative.....	4-3
4.3 CEQA Environmentally Superior Alternative.....	4-3
4.4 Unavoidable Significant Adverse Impacts	4-4
5.0 ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLIANCE	5-1
5.1 Applicable Federal Laws, Regulations, Executive Orders, and Approvals	5-1
5.2 Applicable State Laws, Regulations, and Policies	5-8

Table of Contents

5.3	Regional Plans and Policies.....	5-12
5.4	Local Laws, Regulations, and Permits	5-14
5.5	Agency Jurisdiction and Project Approvals	5-14
6.0	PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT	6-1
6.1	Public Concerns.....	6-1
6.2	List of Document Recipients	6-6
7.0	LIST OF PREPARERS AND CONTRIBUTORS	7-1
7.1	California Coastal Conservancy	7-1
7.2	US Fish and Wildlife Service	7-1
7.3	Technical Consultants	7-1
8.0	DEFINITIONS.....	8-1
9.0	REFERENCES.....	9-1
9.1	Personal Communications	9-1
9.2	Bibliography	9-1
10.0	COMMENTS AND RESPONSES ON THE DRAFT EIS/R.....	10-1
10.1	Introduction	10-1
10.2	Comments and Responses	10.3
10.3	Staff-Initiated Text Changes and Errata.....	10-135

APPENDICES (Volume 2)

- A. Notice of Intent
- B. Notice of Preparation
- C. CEQA Initial Study
- D. NOP/NOI Public Comment Letters
- E. Herbicide and Surfactant Information
- F. Sensitive Species Table
- G. Best Management Practices for the California Clapper Rail
- H. List of Document Recipients
- I. ISP First-Year Pilot and Demonstration Projects
- J. USFWS Biological Opinion
- K. Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program

FIGURES

1-1	Spartina Control Program Region.....	1-3
1-2	Components of the San Francisco Estuary Invasive <i>Spartina</i> Project.....	1-5
1-3	Cordgrass Species	1-13
1-4	Distribution of Non-native Cordgrass in San Francisco Estuary.....	1-19
1-5	Effects of Non-Native Cordgrass on the San Francisco Estuary	1-21
1-6	California Shoreline with Estuaries North and South of San Francisco Bay.....	1-28
1-7	Typical San Francisco Estuary Habitats Invaded by Atlantic Smooth Cordgrass	1-29
2-1	Examples of Methods that May be Used to Control Non-native Cordgrass.....	2-3
2-2	Examples of Options for Combining Treatment Methods.....	2-20
2-3	Number of Minus tide Events in 2003 with Peak and Potential California Clapper Rail Nesting and Fledging Periods.....	2-21
3.2-1	Locations and Mean Discharges for Municipal Wastewater Treatment Plants in South San Francisco Bay.....	3.2-2
3.3-1	California Clapper Rail Presence/Absence Relative to Non-native Cordgrass.....	3.3-9
3.3-2	Conceptual Model of Possible Exposure of Biological Organisms to Herbicide Mixtures.....	3.3-27
3.7-1	Changes in Views Associated with Herbicide Use.....	3.7-3
3.7-2	Changes in Views Associated with Herbicide Use and Mechanical Mowing	3.7-5
3.7-3	Changes in Views Associated with with Covering and Native Plant Recovery	3.7-7

TABLES

S-1	Alternatives Analyzed in this EIS/R	S-3
S-2	Comparison of Impacts of Project Alternatives	S-7
1-1	Net and Gross Area Invaded by Non-native Cordgrass Species (2000-2001)	1-17
1-2	Net Area in Acreage of Non-native Cordgrass Species by Bay Subregion (2000-2001)	1-17
2-1	Summary of Proposed Treatment Methods.....	2-13
2-2	Glyphosate Herbicide Component Concentrations and Application Rates	2-17
3-1	Baseline and Post-Project Conditions	3.0-2
3.1-1	Summary of Potential Hydrologic and Geomorphic Effects	3.1-14
3.1-2	Summary of Mitigation Measures for Hydrology and Geomorphology.....	3.1-16
3.2-1	Dissolved Concentrations of Trace Metals in Water Samples.....	3.2-5
3.2-2	Total Concentrations of Trace Metals in Water Samples	3.2-6
3.2-3	Ranges of Trace Pollutants in San Francisco Bay Sediments.....	3.2-6
3.2-4	Beneficial Uses of Waters of the San Francisco Estuary.....	3.2-7
3.2-5	Water Quality Criteria for Selected Constituents.....	3.2-8
3.2-6	Sediment Chemistry Screening Guidelines	3.2-11
3.2-7	Summary of Potential Water Quality Effects.....	3.2-19
3.2-8	Summary of Mitigation Measures for Water Quality	3.2-20
3.3-1	Summary of Potential Biological Resources Effects	3.3-51
3.3-2	Summary of Mitigation Measures for Biological Resources.....	3.3-68
3.4-1	State and National Standards for Selected Criteria Pollutants, and Measured Air Pollutant Concentrations in the San Francisco Bay Area	3.4-2
3.4-2	Summary of Potential Air Quality Effects.....	3.4-8
3.4-3	Summary of Mitigation Measures for Air Quality.....	3.4-10
3.5-1	Technical Terms for Noise.....	3.5-2
3.5-2	Representative Outdoor and Indoor Noise Levels	3.5-3
3.5-3	Summary of Potential Noise Effects	3.5-8
3.5-4	Summary of Mitigation Measures for Noise.....	3.5-9
3.6-1	Summary of Potential Human Health and Safety Effects.....	3.6-9

3.6-2 Summary of Mitigation Measures for Human Health and Safety..... 3.6-11

3.7-1 Summary of Potential Visual Resources Effects 3.7-11

3.8-1 Summary of Potential Land Use Effects..... 3.8-5

3.9-1 Summary of Potential Cultural Resources Effects..... 3.9-7

3.9-2 Summary of Mitigation Measures for Cultural Resources 3.9-8

3.10-1 Distribution of Jobs in San Francisco Bay Area Counties 3.10-1

4-1 Description of Project Alternatives Considered in This EIS/R..... 4-1

5.5-1 Agency Jurisdiction and Project Approvals..... 5-14